Attorney's Docket No.: 07844-494001 / P458

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: James D. Prayetz Art Unit: 2178

Serial No.: 10/072,382 Examiner: Kyle R. Stork

Filed: February 6, 2002 Conf. No.: 2559

Title : DYNAMIC PREVIEW OF ELECTRONIC SIGNATURE APPEARANCE

## Mail Stop Amendment

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## INTERVIEW SUMMARY

The applicant's undersigned representative thanks examiner Stork for the courtesy of a telephonic interview on July 26, 2006.

In the interview, the application of Parry (U.S. Publication No. US 2003/0062202 A1) to the claims was discussed. The applicant argued that the portion of Parry that the examiner relies on to show the previewing of a signature appearance, namely paragraph 0038 of Parry, actually shows an electronic signature. The applicant urged the distinction between the term "electronic signature appearance", which is a visual representation, and an "electronic signature", which is a cryptographic construct.

The examiner acknowledged the point but indicated that he would have to consider it after the interview.

The applicant will reiterate the argument in a reply to the final action.

No agreement was reached.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 26 Jul 06

Hans R. Troesch Reg. No. 36,950

Customer No.: 021876
Fish & Richardson P.C.
Telephone: (650) 839-5070
Facsimile: (650) 839-5071

50362446.doc